

Thumb Impression – Reasoning as such, for sending the said documents for comparison was on account of the fact that there were two contrary reports of Finger Print Experts on record, both by the plaintiffs and defendants, regarding the consent decree as such, which is challenged by the plaintiff – It had been alleged that some bogus person had appeared on behalf of the plaintiff in the said case – The case was thus based on fraud and in such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the issue before the lower Appellate Court is as such that whether the disputed thumb impression, which is sought to be compared is of the plaintiff – The opinion given by an independent expert or from a reputed State agency would clear the doubt in the mind of the Court and no grouse can be raised on the said course of action.

**[2022 PLRonline 3255 \(P&H\)](#)**

**[OM PAL v. SMT. OMLI \(SINCE DECEASED\) THROUGH HER LRS,\(2022-1\)205 PLR 521 , 2022 PLRonline 3255 \(P&H\)](#)**