

## PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF

- Documents were presented as <u>evidence</u> without any objection from the defendants.
- The documents were in proper custody and were part of the official record of the appellant-Corporation.
- The documents were part of the chain of correspondence.
- PW1's deposition stated that the two letters were received from the Federation.
- The defendant-Federation did not dispute this statement by directing any cross-examination or making any suggestion to the contrary
- The documents were presented as evidence without any objection from the defendants. These documents were in proper custody and were part of the official record of the appellant-Corporation. They were also part of the chain of correspondence. The deposition of PW1, which stated that the two letters were received from the Federation, was not disputed by the defendant-Federation.
- There was no cross-examination or suggestion to the contrary.
- Could be read in evidence
- PLRonline 281265
- Full Judgment with detailed headnotes for Online Subscribers (opens automatically) (Click to subscribe Trial Pack)

Tags: Evidence - Exhibited