

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

*“For the above circumstances, I gather an impression that the petitioner is extremely suspicious by nature. He has no faith in any judicial personage in the State of Haryana and his suspicion is entertained solely on the ground that his adversary in the litigation is a District & Sessions Judge. The same suspicion has unfortunately cast a shadow on the member of the Bar of Rohtak. A refusal by a lawyer or two in not taking the case of the petitioner does not mean that the petitioner cannot avail of professional services.”*

*Ashok Kumar v. Narendra Kumar Jain, 1989(2) CurLJ (CCR) 191 (PH)*

Tags: [1478500](#), [1497500](#), [311602](#), [3317404](#), [3319403](#), [401708](#), [445560](#), [470684](#), [advocate](#), [legal support](#), [Service](#)