

No judgment which was not inter partes or the one to which neither the plaintiff nor the defendant were parties could be used in evidence for any purpose



Full Bench of the Madras High Court in Tripurana Seethapathi Rao Dora v. Rokkam Venkanna Dora AIR 1922 Mad 71 where Kumaraswami Sastri, J. observed thus : I am of opinion that Section 35 has no application to judgments and that a [judgment](#) which would not be admissible under Sections 40 to 43 of the [evidence](#) Act would not become...

... [subscribe](#) TO CONTINUE READING !!!! SPECIAL LIMITED TIME OFFER !!!!
Subscribe Punjab Law Reporter @ Rs 2800/- and get PLRonline.IN (including Supreme Court) FREE for 1 year (save Rs 600/-)

[Login](#) or [Join Now](#)

Full Text of Judgments / Headnotes / PDF is available in Premium Membership | Email punjablawreporter@gmail.com | 9463598502 | Trial membership for 7 days |

[SUBSCRIBE](#)

Tags: [Evidence Act S. 13](#), [Evidence Act S. 40](#), [Evidence Act S. 41](#), [Evidence Act S. 42](#), [Judgment not inter partes](#)