

Full Bench of the Madras High Court in **Tripurana Seethapathi Rao Dora v. Rokkam Venkanna Dora** AIR 1922 Mad 71 where Kumaraswami Sastri, J. observed thus :

*I am of opinion that Section 35 has no application to judgments and that a judgment which would not be admissible under **Sections 40 to 43 of the Evidence Act** would not become relevant merely because it contains a statement as to a fact which is in issue or relevant in a suit between persons who are not parties or privies. **Sections 40 to 44 of the Evidence Act** deal with the relevancy of judgments in courts of justice."*