

“Judgments and observations in judgments are not to be read as Euclid’s theorems or as provisions of statute. Judicial utterances/pronouncements are in the setting of the facts of a particular case. To interpret words and provisions of a statute it may become necessary for judges to embark upon lengthy discussions, but such discussion is meant to explain not define. Judges interpret statutes, their words are not to be interpreted as statutes.”

V. Sudhish Pai from his book ‘Constitutional Supremacy - A Revisit’

referred in [2022 Scej 0216 = 2022 PLRonline 2703](#)