



“The High Court does not ordinarily permit a belated resort to the extraordinary remedy because it is likely to cause confusion and public inconvenience and bring in its train new injustices, and if [writ jurisdiction](#) is exercised after unreasonable delay, it may have the effect of inflicting not only hardship and inconvenience but also injustice...

... [subscribe](#) TO CONTINUE READING ..... !!!! SPECIAL LIMITED TIME OFFER !!!!  
 Subscribe Punjab Law Reporter @ Rs 2800/- and get PLRonline.IN (including Supreme Court) FREE for 1 year (save Rs 600/-)

[Login](#) or [Join Now](#)

Full Text of Judgments / Headnotes / PDF is available in Premium Membership | Email [punjablawreporter@gmail.com](mailto:punjablawreporter@gmail.com) | 9463598502 | Trial membership for 7 days |

[SUBSCRIBE](#)

[Download / Print](#)

Tags: [2007 \(9\) SCC 274](#), [Belated service related claim](#), [Continuing wrong](#), [Pension](#), [Recurring wrong](#), [Service matter](#), [Shiv Dass v. Union of India](#), [Shiv Dass vs. Union of India](#), [successive wrongs](#)