For the period of moratorium, since no Section 138/141 proceeding can continue or be initiated against the corporate debtor because of a statutory bar, such proceedings can be initiated or continued against the persons mentioned in Section 141(1) and (2) of the Negotiable Instruments Act

ibc S. 14 - Whether natural persons are covered by section 14 of the IBC – As far as the Directors/persons in management or control of the corporate debtor are concerned, a Section 138/141 proceeding against them cannot be initiated or continued without the corporate debtor –This is because Section 141 of the Negotiable Instruments Act speaks of persons in charge of, and responsible to the

company for the <u>conduct</u> of the business of the company, as well as the company (*see Aneeta Hada v. Godfather Travels & Tours (P) Ltd., (2012) 5 SCC 661)* – Since the corporate debtor would be covered by the moratorium provision contained in Section 14 of the IBC, by which continuation of Section 138/141 proceedings against the corporate debtor and initiation of Section 138/141 proceedings against the said debtor during the corporate <u>insolvency</u> resolution process are interdicted, what is stated in paragraphs 51 and 59 in *Aneeta Hada* (supra) would then become applicable – The legal impediment contained in Section 14 of the IBC would make it impossible for such proceeding to continue or be instituted against the corporate debtor – Thus, for the period of moratorium, since no Section 138/141 proceedings can be initiated or continued against the corporate debtor because of a statutory bar, such proceedings can be initiated or continue against the the moratorium provision contained in Section 14 of the IBC would apply only to the corporate debtor, the natural persons mentioned in Section 141(1) continuing to be statutorily liable under Chapter XVII of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

2021 SCeJ 1173

Tags: IBC S. 14, Moratorium, NIA - IBC Moratorium, NIA S. 138, NIA S. 141, NIA S. 141(1), NIA S. 141(2)