

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

Offence under the Prevention of Money-Laundering Act could not be covered under Section 14(1)(a), [ibc](#)

“**139.** From the above discussion, it is clear that the objects and [reasons](#) of enactment of the four legislations are distinct, each operating in different field. There is no overlap. While RDBA has been enacted to provide for speedier remedy for banks and financial institutions to recover their dues, [sarfaesi](#) Act (with added chapter on registration of secured creditor) aims at facilitating the secured creditors to expeditiously and effectively enforce their security [interest](#). In each case, the amount to be recovered is “*due*” to the claimant i.e. the banks or the financial institutions or the secured creditor, as the case may be, the claim being against the debtor (or his guarantor). The [insolvency](#) Code, in contrast, seeks to primarily protect the interest of creditors by entrusting them with the responsibility to seek resolution through a professional (RP), failure on his part leading eventually to the liquidation process.”

xxx xxx xxx

“**141.** This court finds it difficult to accept the proposition that the [jurisdiction](#) conferred on the State by PMLA to confiscate the “*proceeds of crime*” concerns a property the value whereof is “*debt*” due or payable to the Government (Central or State) or local authority. The Government, when it exercises its power under PMLA to seek attachment leading to confiscation of proceeds of crime, does not stand as a creditor, the person alleged to be complicit in the offence of money-laundering similarly not acquiring the status of a debtor. The State is not claiming the prerogative to deprive such offender of ill-gotten assets so as to be perceived to be sharing the loot, not the least so as to levy [tax](#) thereupon such as to give it a colour of legitimacy or lawful earning, the idea being to take away what has been illegitimately secured by proscribed criminal activity.”

**Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement Delhi v. Axis Bank**, 2019 SCC OnLine Del 7854 : (2019) 259 DLT 500

Tags: [IBC S. 14](#), [PMLA](#), [Prevention of Money-Laundering Act](#)