

Fraud - Compromise - Compromise was entered into between the parties by the lawyer without any authority from the appellants and the appellants did not execute any vakalatnama in favour of the said advocate - Appellants did not sign the compromise - Writ petition was disposed of on the basis of the compromise - Compromise was entered into by the advocate without any authority - Order of the High Court disposing of the writ petition as well as on the application for review cannot be sustained - Plea that conduct of the respondents who were the petitioners before the High Court in the writ petition disentitles them to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Court under Article 226 and, therefore, the writ petition should be dismissed by this Court and the matter may not be remitted to the High Court for re disposal on merits - Though there is sufficient force in the aforesaid contention, we think it appropriate for the High Court to deal with this question and pass ; appropriate orders thereon.

[LogIn here to read judgment](#)

[1998 PLRonline 0005](#)