

Privacy - Voice Recording - Evidence - Matrimonial disputes - Application was moved before the trial judge for producing further additional evidence of an Audio CD said to have been recorded on in 2009 of a conversation between the husband and wife in order to show that the wife refused physical relationship with him and therefore this was reason enough to show that the marriage has not been consummated so far to make it a ground of divorce - In any case, a husband recording conversation surreptitiously of the kind placed in transcription before this Court would appear to be the handywork of a person collecting evidence before hand to be used or misused later, in case required - If he was gathering evidence in advance, he should have been diligent enough to produce the Audio CD at the appropriate stage of the trial when his evidence was being recorded - This is clearly an afterthought as the petitioner was throughout in possession of alleged incriminating material - He cannot be permitted now to do so and would have to depend on his remaining evidence.

[2014 PLRonline 0008](#)
