



Evidence – Report of the Collectors may not be of great judicial authority so far as the opinions expressed on private rights of the parties but being the reports made under a statutory Regulation they were entitled to be of considerable importance.

Rajah Muttu Ramalinga Setupati v. Perianayagum Pillai, 1874 1 IA 209 the Privy Council was dealing with reports made by Collectors acting under Regulation 7 of 1817 of the Madras Presidency and it was held that the report of the Collectors may not be of great judicial authority so far as the opinions expressed on private rights...

... <u>subscribe</u> TO CONTINUE READING !!!! SPECIAL LIMITED TIME OFFER !!!! Subscribe Punjab Law Reporter @ Rs 2800/- and get PLRonline.IN (including Supreme Court) FREE for 1 year (save Rs 600/-)

Login or Join Now

Full Text of Judgments / Headnotes / PDF is available in Premium Membership | Email punjablawreporter@gmail.com | 9463598502 | Trial membership for 7 days |

SUBSCRIBE

Tags: Document - Admissibility of, Evidence Act S. 35, Public Document