

East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (III of 1949) Section 13 – Petitioner contends that as a joint petition was filed by respondent No.1 to 3, for that reason, all of them were required to fulfill the requirements of Section 13(3)(a)(i) of the 1949 Act – Eviction petition has been filed by the three co-owners, but the requirement only with respect to respondent No.1 has been pleaded – Nowhere held that if co-owners file a joint petition with regard to requirement of one of them, the other co-owners are required to plead and prove their bonafide requirement.

[**2022 PLRonline 0054**](#)

[**M/S. GANESH IRON STORE v. AMRISH KUMAR JINDAL,\(2022-1\)205 PLR 499**](#)