

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

Cr.P.C. , Section 397 or 482 - Constitution of India, Article 227 - Challenge to an order of framing of charge - Where stay is granted, the matter must be decided on day-to-day basis - Order framing charge is not purely an interlocutory order nor a final order - [jurisdiction](#) of the High Court is not barred irrespective of the label of a petition, be it under Sections 397 or 482 Cr.P.C. or Article 227 of the Constitution - However, the said jurisdiction is to be exercised consistent with the legislative policy to ensure expeditious disposal of a trial without the same being in any manner hampered - Thus considered, the challenge to an order of charge should be entertained in a rarest of rare case only to correct a patent error of jurisdiction and not to re-appreciate the matter - Even where such challenge is entertained and stay is granted, the matter must be decided on day-to-day basis so that stay does not operate for an unduly long period - Though no mandatory time limit may be fixed, the decision may not exceed two-three months normally - If it remains pending longer, duration of stay should not exceed six months, unless extension is granted by a specific speaking order, as already indicated. Mandate of speedy justice applies to the PC Act cases as well as other cases where at trial stage proceedings are stayed by the higher court i.e. the High Court or a court below the High Court, as the case may be - In all pending matters before the High Courts or other courts relating to PC Act or all other civil or criminal cases, where stay of proceedings in a pending trial is operating, stay [will](#) automatically lapse after six months from today (28th March, 2018) unless extended by a speaking order on above parameters - Same course may also be adopted by civil and criminal appellate/revisional courts under the jurisdiction of the High Courts - The trial courts may, on expiry of above period, resume the proceedings without waiting for any other intimation unless express order extending stay is produced.

[ASIAN RESURFACING OF ROAD AGENCY PVT. LTD. V. CENTRAL BURUEAU OF INVESTIGATION, 2018 SCeJ 688](#)

Tags: [CrPC S. 397](#), [CrPC S. 482](#), [Expeditious trial](#), [Stay - Pending matters](#)