



CPC O. 6 R. 17 read with O. 1 R. 10 - Application seeking impleadment of subsequent purchaser, as well as incorporating the necessary averments in the plaint - May not be a necessary party but cannot be said that the presence of the purchaser in the suit proceedings would be improper also. (PLRonline ID 46513)

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

Suit property, the subject matter of the [agreement to sell](#) has been transferred in favour of a third party - [interest](#) of a third party is also involved in the decision of the suit - No doubt, by rule of lis pendens, subsequent purchaser is bound by the decree, who steps into the shoes of the vendor, and may not be a necessary party for adjudication of the dispute between the plaintiff and the original defendants, but at the same time, it cannot be said that the presence of the purchaser in the suit proceedings would be improper also.

... [subscribe](#) TO CONTINUE READING !!!! SPECIAL LIMITED TIME OFFER !!!!

Subscribe Punjab Law Reporter @ Rs 2800/- and get PLRonline.IN (including Supreme Court) FREE for 1 year (save Rs 600/-)

[Login](#) or [Join Now](#)

Full Text of Judgments / Headnotes / PDF is available in Premium Membership | Email punjablawreporter@gmail.com | 9463598502 | Trial membership for 7 days |

[SUBSCRIBE](#)

Tags: [Amendment of pleadings](#), [CPC O. 1 R. 10](#), [CPC O. 6 R. 17](#), [Subsequent purchaser](#)