



CPC O. 41 R. 31, S. 96 - High Court has neither re- appreciated the entire evidence on record nor has given any specific findings on the issues which were even raised before the learned Trial Court - High Court has failed to exercise the jurisdiction vested in it as a First Appellate Court;

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

Civil Procedure Code, 1908 (V of 1908) Order XLI Rule 31, S. 96 - [first appeal](#) - High Court has not framed the points for determination as required under Order XLI Rule 31 [cpc](#) - High Court has neither re- appreciated the entire [evidence](#) on record nor has given any specific [findings](#) on the issues which were even raised before the learned Trial Court - High Court has failed to exercise the [jurisdiction](#) vested in it as a First Appellate Court; the High Court has not at all re-appreciated the entire evidence on record; and not even considered the reasoning given by the learned Trial Court, in particular, on findings recorded by the learned Trial Court on the issue of willingness - Therefore, as such, the impugned [judgment](#) and order passed by the High Court is unsustainable - [practice and procedure](#).

read here

[PLRonline 401580 \(SC\)](#)

Tags: [CPC O. 41 R. 31](#), [cpc s. 96](#)