

Concurrent findings of facts recorded by the two Courts below on all the material issues are binding on this Court - It is much more so when we are unable to notice any kind of perversity or illegality in the findings - The findings apart from being concurrent are such that they are capable of being recorded on appreciation of evidence adduced by the parties - These findings are neither against the pleadings nor the evidence and nor any principle of law - Being essentially a question of fact, this Court is not inclined to again appreciate the entire evidence while hearing the appeal under Article 136 of the Constitution - It is more so when we find that the appellant was also not able to point out any material perversity or/and illegality in the finding so as to call for any interference therein by this Court - These findings are also not shown to be perverse to the extent that no judicial person can ever record such findings - Appeal fails and is accordingly dismissed.

[2019 SCeJ 60](#)