



COI Art. 32 - Practice and procedure - Other remedies available to them in law - Court did not foreclose the right of the petitioners/Banks to pursue other remedies available to them in law

[PRINT / DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

[practice and procedure](#) - Other remedies available to them in law - [writ](#) petitioners/Banks were not parties in the case of *Jayantilal N. Mistry* - Earlier the Miscellaneous Applications filed by HDFC Bank and others for recall of the [judgment](#) and order in the case of *Jayantilal N. Mistry* were rejected by this Court vide order dated 28th April 2021, by passing the order "The dismissal of these applications shall not prevent the applicants to pursue other remedies available to them in law." - Court did not foreclose the right of the petitioners/Banks to pursue other remedies available to them in law - Present writ petitions inter alia, challenging the action of the respondent Reserve Bank of India in directing disclosure of confidential and sensitive information pertaining to their affairs, their employees and their customers under the Right to Information Act, 2005 which, in their submission, is otherwise exempt under Section 8 thereof - Applications maintainable - Constitution of India, Art. 32

SUSSCRIPTION REQUIRED TO READ JUDGMENT

[read HERE](#)

Tags: [COI Art. 32](#)