

**Service Law - Appointment - Ad hoc employee - An ad hoc employee cannot be replaced by another ad hoc employee and he can be replaced only by another candidate who is regularly appointed by following a regular procedure prescribed - Do not find that an error was committed by the learned single judge of the High Court by directing the writ petitioners to continue to work on their respective posts till regular selections are made - Direction issued that the writ petitioners would be entitled to get the salary in accordance with the UGC circular is not sustainable -The advertisements themselves clearly provided that the selected candidates would be paid the honorarium to be determined by the said Committee. *Rattan Lal and others vs. State of Haryana and others*, (1985) 4 SCC 43 and on the order of this Court in the case of *Hargurpratap Singh vs. State of Punjab and others*, (2007) 13 SCC 292, relied.**

**[2022 SCeJ 0565, \(2022-2\)206 PLR 681 \(SC\), 2022 PLRonline 9266](#)**